# EFFECT OF DIETARY ENERGY, METHIONINE, CHOLINE AND FOLIC ACID LEVELS ON LAYERS PERFORMANCE.

#### BY

O.M., El-Husseiny; A.Z., Soliman; M.O., Abd-Elsamee and I.I., Omara. Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

Received:29/10/2005

Accepted: 15/11/2005

ABSTRACT: Two experiments were conducted in a 3 x 2 x 2 factorial arrangement of treatments. Three levels of metabolizable energy 2600, 2800 and 3000 Kcal ME/kg diet, two levels of methionine (0.40 and 0.50%) and two levels of choline (300 and 900 mg/kg diet for experiment I) or two levels of folic acid (2.0 and 6.0 mg/kg diet for experiment II) were used. The experimental diets were formulated to cover the nutrients requirement according to the recommended allowances of the breed, where 2800 kcal ME/kg, 0.40% methionine, 300 mg choline/kg and 2.0 mg folic acid/kg considered as a control. The diets were fed to 2400 (1200/experiment) Lohmann Brown (L.B) laying hens, 28 weeks of age for 16 weeks. Hens in each experiment were randomly distributed into 12 treatments, of 100 hens each, in 4 replicates. In both experiments, feed was given in all mash form and offered with water adlibitum under a total of 16 hours light per day regimen.

The results in experiment I and II indicated that hens fed the high energy diets produced more eggs than those fed the medium or low energy diets with no significant differences. Increasing energy, methionine, choline and folic acid levels did not affect egg weight. In both experiments, the amount of feed consumed decreased with increasing dietary energy level in the diet. The best feed conversion ratios were noticed with all diets containing 3000 kcal ME/kg, the ratio improved by 13.20 and 19.72% for experiment I vs. 11.68 and 19.82% for experiment II than the control diet and low ME diet, respectively. In the first and second experiments, the average live body weight gain increased gradually with increasing the energy level from 2600 to 3000 kcal ME/kg with supplemental methionine, choline and folic acid.

The results indicated that experimental treatments which contained 2600 kcal ME/kg with methionine and choline (experiment I) and folic acid (experiment II) supplementation improved egg shell weight, while the diets containing 3000 kcal ME/kg improved Haugh units compared to the diets containing 2800 kcal ME/kg (control) and these results were inversely to those of egg yolk lipid. The diets containing 2600 kcal ME/kg in both experiments tend to increase yolk total lipid and yolk total cholesterol compared to diets containing 2800 (control) and 3000 kcal ME/kg. Immune functions (serum total immunoglobulin titres) were significantly affected by energy levels, but not

affected by methionine, choline (experiment I) or folic acid (experiment II) supplementation in the diet. A slightly decrease was observed in serum total immunoglobulin titres with increased choline or folic acid. In both experiments, the high energy diets which containing 3000 kcal ME/kg improved the digestion coefficient values of almost all the nutrients compared to the control diet (2800 kcal ME/kg) and diets containing 2600 kcal ME/kg. The addition of methionine, choline or folic acid had no significant effect on nutrients digestibility coefficient. The economic study was affected by different energy, methionine, choline or folic acid levels, where increasing the dietary energy level increased economic efficiency in both experiments. Although the calorie: protein ratio was found to be important and is considered in the formulation of broiler feed, it has not been given much consideration in the formulation of feed for laying hens. Obviously, very good egg production and economic efficiency were obtained even when the ratio of calories of metabolizable energy to amino acid (methionine) and vitamins (choline and folic acid) of the diets in the diet, the calorie to methionine was 1:1.67, calorie to choline was 1:0.30 and calorie to folic acid was 1:0.002.Added folic acid in layer diets increased egg shell thickness through estrogen hormone relations mechanism which the estrogens regulate the activity of osteoclasts and slow the process of bone dissolution. Equation models for the contributions of choline or folic acid in hen

performance as sparing effect from methionine:

Model 1, choline effect

Choline = 1325.03 - 1.897 BW + 2.504 EP + 3.912 EW + 0.937 E + 288.63 M. r = 0.30 ,  $r^2 = 0.90$ 

Model 2: folic acid effect

Folic acid= 24.603 - 0.0036 BW + 0.116 EP + 0.08 EW + 0.0013 E + 2.428 M.

r = 0.198 ,  $r^2 = 0.039$  where,

BW (body weight), EP (egg production), EW (egg weight), E energy level

existed in the diet and M methionine level existed in the diet.

The low r<sup>2</sup> values and the non-significant effect for both models might be explained in these experiments, that only two levels of replacement values of choline and folic acid were used which can not make non-linear model, therefore, these results may be that conclusive and more levels of choline and folic acid are needed to improve the accuracy of the results and, therefore graded levels of choline and folic acid could be advised for further experiments.

(Key words: layers, Performance, Energy, Methionine, Choline, Folic acid).

### INTRODUCTION

It is a widely accepted principle in poultry nutrition that dietary energy and the essential nutrients must be considered as an entity. A change in the energy content of the diet will normally result in an inverse change in the total amount of feed consumed and will therefore influence the intake of essential nutrients (Slagter and Waldroup, 1990). Hunton (1995) found that nutrients intake can be influenced by different levels of energy in diet. Therefore, deficiency of nutrients may be occured in poultry by more increasing of energy content in the diet. In contrast, feed intake as well as nutrients utilization are increased by low level of energy in the diet. Oke et al. (2003) reported that high dietary energy levels inhibit feed intake and observed that egg production and feed conversion ratio were improved when laying hens were fed diet containing 2750 kcal ME/kg compared with the other diets which containing 2650 or 2850 kcal ME/kg.

In most poultry diets, methionine is the first limiting amino acid. This means that an adequate quantity of methionine is necessary for most practical diets to obtain optimum performance. Therefore, it is common practice to supplement diets with synthetic methionine source such as DL-methionine (Liu et al. 2004 b). In this respect, Cortes et al. (2001), Silpasorn et al. (2003) and Abd-Elsamee (2005) indicated that hen diets supplemented with methionine at levels up to 0.55% improved egg production, egg weight, feed conversion ratio

and egg quality.

Hens will perform very well over a wide range of energy: methionine ratio, however the feed should be formulated to the energy: methionine ratio that meet the hen's need for the most efficient production of eggs. Harms (1999) found a new program for formulating feed for laying hens. This program based on the ideal amino acid: energy ratio for the amount of egg content produced and was used to determine the percentage of methionine needed for laying hens. The response of hens received two levels of energy with three levels of methionine was evaluated by Harms et al. (1998). They found a linear response for egg content as the daily methionine intake increased.

Choline is an essential nutrient for the poultry. One of choline functions is to furnish methyl groups that can also be furnished by methionine (Harms and Russell, 2002). The level of choline in the diet can affect the methionine requirement (Harms et al. 1990). House et al. (1999) reported that folic actual status is linked to increased serum levels of the sulfur amino acid homocystine, due to the role of folic acid that plays as co-factor in the remethylation of homocystine to form methionine. House et al. (2002) reported that increasing the folic acid content of eggs make the egg as an important source of dietary folic acid and lead to consumer acceptance of this commodity as a healthful product.

Therefore, this study aimed to improve the laying hen performance, egg quality and immune response at lower levels of metabolizable energy as a result of adding the right amounts of both amino acid (methionine) and vitamins

(choline and folic acid).

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experimental work of the present study was carried out at the poultry farm of the Animal Production Islamic Company (APICO) from September 2003 to January 2004.

**Experimental design:** 

Two experiments were conducted in a 3 x 2 x 2 factorial arrangement of treatments. Three levels of metabolizable energy (2600, 2800 and 3000 Kcal ME/kg), two levels of methionine (0.40 and 0.50%) and two levels of choline (300 and 900 mg/kg) for the first experiment or two levels of folic acid (2.0 and 6.0 mg/kg) for the second experiment.

**Experimental diets:** 

The experimental diets and their calculated analysis for the first and second experiments are presented in Table 1. The experimental diets were designed to contain three levels of metabolizable energy 2600 (low level), 2800 (medium level) and 3000 Kcal ME/kg diet (high level). Each level of energy was provided with either 0.40 or 0.50% methionine. Each level of the methionine was provided with either choline at 300 (basal) or 900 mg/kg of the diet (experiment I) or folic acid at 2.0 or 6.0 mg/kg of the diet (experiment II). Methionine, choline or folic acid were added as DL-methionine (98% methionine), choline chloride (60% choline) and folic acid (100%), respectively. The experimental diets were formulated to cover the nutrients requirement according to the recommended allowances of the breed, where 2800 kcal ME/kg, 0.40% methionine, 300 mg choline/kg or 2.0 mg folic acid/kg considered as a control. Twenty four experimental diets (12 per experiment) were formulated using Linear Programming to be isonitrogenous (18.00 % CP).

**Experimental birds and management:** 

A total number of 1200 Lohmann Brown (L.B.) laying hens, 28 weeks of age were used for each experiment. Hens were randomly divided into 12 groups of 100 hens in four replicates of 25 hens each. Hens were kept in cleaned and fumigated cages of wire floored batteries in closed system house. Feed and water were offered *ad-libitum* all over the experimental period (16 weeks) from 28 to 43 weeks of age, under a total of 16 hours light per day regimen.

### **Measurements:**

All birds of each treatment were weighed at the beginning (initial live body weight) and at the end of experimental period (final live body weight) to calculate body weight gain. The daily feed consumed per hen and hen-day egg production percentage were calculated every four weeks interval during the experimental period. Eggs were collected and weighed every 4 weeks during the experimental periods (16 weeks). Records of egg production, egg weight and feed consumption were used to calculate the amount of feed (kg.) which

was required to produce one kilogram of eggs per hen or to calculate feed conversion ratio. Egg shell thickness was determined using a dial pipe gauge digital. Haugh units were calculated based upon the height of albumen determined by a micrometer and egg weight according to Eisen et al. (1962). Yolk and albumen were separated, then 5 samples of pooled yolk and albumen for each replicate were freeze-dried and stored at -20 °C. The egg yolk total lipid was extracted according to Folch et al. (1957), while total cholesterol of an egg yolk was determined by the method of Henly and Zak (1957). Serum total immunoglobulin titres were determined according to Van et al. (1983). Gross calorific values of feed and excreta were determined using the programmable isothermal jacket bomb calorimeter. To determine the economic efficiency of egg production, the amount of feed consumed during the entire experimental period and the total eggs produced per treatment were considered. The price of experimental diets was calculated according to the local market price of DL-methionine, choline chloride and folic acid as well as the prices of the ingredients at the time of the experiment.

**Digestion trials:** 

At the end of the experimental period, 43 weeks of age, a total number of 288 hens, 12 from each treatment were randomly taken for carrying digestion trials to estimate the nutrients digestibility, nitrogen balance and energy utilization. Feeds and fresh water were offered *ad-libitum*, excreta was collected quantitatively every 24 hours, during a three days collection period. Proximate analysis of the feed and dried excreta were done following the methods of (A.O.A.C., 1990). Faecal nitrogen was determined according to Jakobsen *et al.* (1960).

Statistical analysis:

Data were statistically analyzed by using the General Linear Model procedures (GLM) described by SAS Institute (2004). Differences among treatment means were tested using Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955) and differences were significant at ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Laying Hen Performance:

**Egg production:** 

The effects of experimental treatments on egg production determined as hen-day (H.D., %) are summarized in Table (2) for experiment I and II. Results showed that egg production (H.D., %) increased gradually with increasing metabolizable energy (ME) levels while, there were no significant differences (P>0.05) among ME levels in egg production percentages in both experiments. Moreover, the differences in egg production due to methionine, choline and folic acid levels were not significant. In the first and second experiments, there

was a significant effect (P≤0.05) on the egg production due to energy x methionine x choline or folic acid interactions, where T<sub>11</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> recorded the highest value (91.1%), however T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> gave the lowest percentage (86.6%) for experiment I. In experiment II, the highest egg production (90.4%) was recorded by T<sub>12</sub>, while the least percentage (85.3%) was obtained by T<sub>1</sub>. These results may be attributed to the supplementation of sulfur amino acid (methionine) and vitamins (choline or folic acid) to high energy diet (3000 kcal ME/kg) that improved egg production. These results agreed with the findings of Rosa et al. (1996) who reported that hens fed the 2940 kcal ME/kg diet showed a significant increase in egg production than those fed the diet containing 2710 keal ME/kg. De-Acosta et al. (2002) reported that the level of dietary energy (2600 and 2800 kcal ME/kg) did not affect the laying performance. Keshavarz (2003) observed that the methionine and choline supplementation did not statistically influence the egg production. Hebert et al. (2004) found that there was no significant difference (P≤0.05) in egg production rate due to folic acid supplementation from 0 to 32 mg/kg and the results disagreed with those of Rezvani et al. (2000) who found that mean egg production during the laying period (22 - 44 weeks of age) with low energy (2600 kcal ME/kg) diet was greater than those for high energy (2900 kcal ME/kg) diet. They explained that low energy intake to protein intake ratio in low energy diet versus high energy diet may be a reason for greater egg production in lower energy than in higher energy diets. Abd-Elsamee (2005) showed that feeding laying hens diets supplemented with methionine up to 0.55% recorded significantly higher egg production compared to diets containing 0.42 (control) and 0.49% methionine. Harms et al. (1999) obtained a significant (P<0.05) increase in egg production when 878 mg choline/kg was added to the diet containing 0.033% supplemental methionine. Liu and Feng (1992) reported that folic acid increased egg production when added from 0.54 to 1.5 mg/kg to the diet. Equation models for the contributions of choline or folic acid in hen performance as sparing effect from methionine: Model 1, choline effect

Choline = 1325.03 - 1.897 BW + 2.504 EP + 3.912 EW + 0.937 E + 288.63 M.

r = 0.30 ,  $r^2 = 0.90$ where,

BW (body weight) ranged between 1815.0 and 2244.0 gm.

EP (egg production) ranged between 86.6 and 91.1%.

EW (egg weight) ranged between 65.9 and 68.7 gm

E energy level existed in the diet and M methionine level existed in the diet.

Model 2, folic acid effect

Folic acid= 24.603 - 0.0036 BW + 0.116 EP + 0.08 EW + 0.0013 E + 2.428 M.

r = 0.198 ,  $r^2 = 0.039$  where,

BW (body weight) ranged between 1820.0 and 2098.3 gm.

EP (egg production) ranged between 85.3 and 90.4%.

EW (egg weight) ranged between 65.9 and 68.4 gm

E energy level existed in the diet and M methionine level existed in the diet.

The low r<sup>2</sup> values and the non-significant effect for both models might be explained in these experiments, that only two levels of replacement values of choline and folic acid were used which can not make non-linear model, therefore, these results may be that conclusive and more levels of choline and folic acid are needed to improve the accuracy of the results and, therefore graded levels of choline and folic acid could be advised for further experiments.

Egg weight:

The effects of treatments on egg weight are shown in Table (2) for experiment I and II. Data showed that the differences in egg weights were not significant due to either metabolizable energy, methionine, choline or folic acid levels. Moreover, the effect of interaction among energy x methionine x choline or folic acid on egg weight were not significant (P>0.05). Generally, increasing energy levels from 2600 to 3000 kcal ME/kg, methionine from 0.40 to 0.50%, choline from 300 to 900 mg/kg or folic acid from 2.0 to 6.0 mg/kg did not affect egg weight. These results were confirmed by Novak et al. (2004) and Amaefule et al. (2004) who reported that methionine supplementation at 0 and 0.10% of laying hen diets containing 2790 kcal ME/kg did not affect egg weight. However, Abd-Elsamee (2005) reported that egg weight increased with increasing dietary methionine level up to 0.55%. In this connection, Bhardwaj et al. (2000) reported that egg weights did not differ among dietary treatments containing 2600 kcal ME/kg and 500 mg choline/kg. Hebert et al. (2004) observed that egg weight was not affected by folic acid supplementation up to 4 mg folic acid/kg. While, results obtained herein disagreed with those obtained by Colvara et al. (2002) and Keshavarz (2003) who reported that increasing the concentration of several nutrients in the diet increases egg weight. These nutrients include methionine and choline or combinations of related nutrients. Moreover, Liu et al. (2004 a, b) found that there was a difference (P<0.05) in egg weight among dietary methionine supplementation (0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08 and 0.10%). This indicated that egg weight was a more sensitive criterion than egg production, egg mass and body weight. On the other hand, House et al. (2002) noticed that birds consuming diets containing 8 and 16 mg folic acid/kg produced less egg weight than those observed for birds consuming the diets containing 0 to 4 and 32 mg folic acid/kg.

### Feed consumption and conversion:

Data presented in Table (2) showed the effect of treatments on the amount of feed intake for different experimental birds in the first and second experiment. There was a significant effect on the amount of feed consumed (g/hen/day) due to metabolizable energy levels in both experiments. Hens receiving the low metabolizable energy diet consumed significantly (P < 0.05) more feed than those receiving the control and high metabolizable energy diets. Hens increased feed intake to meet their increased energy requirement. No significant differences in feed intake due to increasing dietary supplementation of methionine, choline and folic acid in the diets. T<sub>1</sub> recorded the highest value of feed intake (126 and 128 g/hen/day) for experiment I and II, respectively, while,  $T_{12}$  gave the least value (105 g/hen/day) for experiment I and II. Generally, the amount of feed consumed decreased with increasing energy, choline and folic acid levels. These results supported by Harms et al. (2000) and Robinson (2000) who observed that feed intake declined with increasing ME levels (2500, 2700 and 2900 kcal/kg) in the diet and the results indicated that the hen is inefficient at adjusting feed intake to meet their energy requirement. However, Ghaisari and Golian (1996) suggested that feed intake was not affected by dietary energy levels (2500, 2700 and 2900 kcal/kg). Additionally, feed intake of low energy diet, which was a very bulky (low density) diet, was substantially lower than predicted on the basis of energy requirement of the birds and energy content of the diet, suggesting that dietary bulk was a limiting factor. Abd-Elsamee (2005) indicated that no significant differences (P>0.05) in feed intake values were observed due to the different levels of methionine (0.42, 0.49 and 0.55%) in laying hen diets. Wideman et al. (1994) suggested that the precise mechanisms responsible for the depressive effects of excess amino acids (1% DL-methionine) on feed intake remain to be fully clarified. On the other hand, Hebert et al. (2004) reported that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in feed consumption due to folic acid supplementation up to 4 mg/kg to the diets. However, House et al. (2002) observed that average daily feed consumption was significantly higher for birds consuming the diets with 32 mg folic acid/kg compared to birds have folic acid at 0, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 mg/kg in their diet.

Average values of feed conversion ratio (FCR), calculated as amount of feed (kg) required to produce 1 kg of eggs are shown in Table (2) for experiment I and II. There were significant differences (P<0.05) among metabolizable energy levels, where diets containing 2600 keal ME/kg recorded the worst FCR. The diets containing 3000 kcal ME/kg improved FCR by 13.20 and 19.72% for experiment I vs. 11.68 and 19.82% for experiment II compared to the control diet and low ME diet, respectively. These results may be attributed to two reasons: first, the different amounts of feed consumed and egg production. Second, the supplemental sulfur amino acid (methionine) and vitamins (choline or folic acid) to high energy diet (3000 kcal ME/kg) which improved FCR. Results in Table (2) revealed that methionine, choline or folic acid levels did not affect FCR for egg production. The effect of interactions among energy x methionine x choline or folic acid on FCR was significant. The best FCR (1.70) was recorded by T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>11</sub> for the first experiment, and T<sub>12</sub> for the second experiment, while the worst FCR was obtained by T<sub>1</sub>. Generally, the best feed conversion ratio was noticed with all diets containing 3000 kcar ME/kg with methionine, choline or folic acid supplementation compared to the control diets (2800 kcal ME/kg) and low metabolizable energy diets. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Totsuka et al. (1993) who indicated that increasing the dietary energy levels (2700, 2850 and 3000 kcal ME/kg) decreased feed intake and consequently improved feed conversion ratio. Amaefule et al. (2004) showed that supplementation of 0.10% methionine did not affect feed conversion ration (FCR). Rao et al. (2001) found that supplementation of 0, 750 and 1520 mg choline/kg did not influence the efficiency of feed utilization. Hebert et al. (2004) suggested that there was no significant difference. (P<0.05) in feed conversion ratio due to folic acid supplementation up to 4 mg/kg. These results disagreed with those of Adeyem and Longe (2000) who indicated that hens fed diet containing 2600 kcal ME/kg performed best feed conversion ratio when the ME content of the diets ranged from 2500 to 2900 kcal/kg. Novak et al. (2004) and Liu et al. (2004 b) reported that the addition of DL-methionine at level up to 0.10% improved feed conversion ratio. The reason that the differences between methionine levels were not statistically detected was that laying hens were not sensitive enough to supplemental methionine. In this connection, Khan et al. (1991) reported that feed conversion efficiency showed a positive response to choline supplementation as a substitute for methionine when they fed hens on diets containing 2900 kcal ME/kg with 0.128% DL-methionine and 600 or 900 mg choline/kg.

### Live body weight gain:

The effect of treatments on body weight gain is presented in Table (2) for experiment I and II. In both experiments, there was a significant difference in average live body weight gain among hens fed the three different metabolizable energy levels while, hens receiving the high energy diets gained the best, however those receiving the low energy diets gained the least weight. There was no significant difference between the average values of body weight gain due to methionine, choline or folic acid levels. A significant difference was observed in the average live body weight gain due to energy x methionine x choline or folic acid interaction. Generally, the average live body weight gain increased gradually with increasing the energy level from 2600 to 3000 kcal ME/kg with supplemental methionine, choline (experiment I) or folic acid (experiment II). These results supported the findings of Stilborn and Waldroup (1990) who concluded that lower dietary energy levels tended to reduce body weight gain of hens fed diets containing 2500, 2600, 2700 and 2800 kcal ME/kg. In this regard, Piliang et al. (1982) stated that less energy is available for fat deposition when lower dietary ME levels are utilized and high levels of fibrous feed with low energy diets will reduce the amount of weight gain that occurs during the laying period. Robinson (2000) observed that body weight gain increased with increasing dietary ME level (2500, 2700 and 2900 keal ME/kg) in the diet. Accordingly, abdominal fat pad weight (as a proportion of body weight) at termination of the trial was lower for the low ME diet than for the other diets. Amaefule et al. (2004) found that body weight gain did not differ among treatments which containing methionine from 0.289 to 0.422%. Harms and Russell (2002) observed no significant differences in body weight gain among the hens receiving diets containing choline at level of 1220 mg/kg. **Hebert** et al. (2004) noticed that no significant difference (P<0.05) in body weight due to folic acid supplementation up to 4.0 mg/kg. On the contrary, Oke et al. (2003) suggested that diet containing 16% CP and 2750 kcal ME/kg is ideal for optimum body weight gain for laying hens probably because the diet contains a good balance between energy and protein. Okazaki et al. (1995) concluded that body weight gain of hens fed 0.52% methionine diet was heavier than other treatments containing 0.40 and 0.46% methionine. Keshavarz (2003) observed that reducing dietary folic acid resulted in reduced body weight.

## **Egg Quality: Shell thickness:**

The effects of treatments on average values of shell thickness (µm) including shell membrane are presented in Table (3) for experiment I and II. Shell thickness was significantly (P<0.05) affected by ME levels, while no significant differences were detected between the average values of shell thickness due to different levels of methionine and choline (experiment I) or folic acid (experiment II). Table (3) showed that T<sub>1</sub> had the best shell thickness  $(0.468 \mu m)$ , where T<sub>9</sub> recorded the least shell thickness  $(0.421 \mu m)$  for the first experiment. However, in experiment II, no significant differences were observed in shell thickness due to energy x methionine x folic acid interaction and the values of shell thickness ranged from 0.432 to 0.458  $\mu$ m for T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, respectively. Generally, all diets which formulated to contain 2600 kcal ME/kg with methionine, choline or folic acid supplementation improved egg shell thickness compared to 2800 kcal ME/kg (control) and 3000 kcal ME/kg diet. In this regard, Atteh and Leeson (1985) reported that the reason of improved egg shell thickness with diets containing 2600 kcal ME/kg is due to the calcium losses on the low ME diet which were lower than on the high ME diet. The greater loss of calcium from pullets fed on the high ME diet was attributed to that calcium can combine with excess dietary fat to form indigestible soap. Hebert et al. (2004) noticed was no significant difference (P>0.05) in egg shell thickness due to folic acid supplementation up to 4 mg/kg of the diet. On the contrary, Ciftci et al. (2003) found that shell thickness was not significantly affected by dietary energy level (2650 and 2750 kcal ME/kg). Abd-Elsamee (2005) reported that hens fed diets containing 0.55% methionine significantly showed higher values of shell thickness compared with those fed either 0.42 (control) or 0.49% methionine. Keshavarz (2003) reported that dietary choline level up to 1256 mg/kg and reducing dietary folic acid from 1.12 to 1.08 mg/kg improved egg shell thickness. Keshavarz and Nakajima (1993) indicated that reduced shell quality with aging is not due to a reduced ability of hens to absorb and mobilize calcium for shell formation. Absolute daily retention of calcium remained as hens aged. The reason for reduced shell quality is due to increased egg size, distribution a constant amount of shell over larger egg.

The problem of bone density loss with resultant deformities and fractures associated with old age is a problem. Bone density loss, or osteoporosis, is a definite health problem that can be managed and prevented if one understands what is happening. One of the mechanisms, and the one that

usually gets the most attention, is the reduction of hormone levels associated with aging. There are two kinds of cells found in bone. The osteoclasts are constantly destroying old bone while osteoblasts are constantly building new bone. It is necessary for these two processes, bone destruction and bone building to be balanced in order to have solid healthy bones. There are two control mechanisms by which osteoblasts are stimulated to create new bone mass. One is hormonal regulation and the other is the piezoelectric stimulation of bone growth that comes from exercise. One of the principal factors in the hormonal regulation of bone health is estrogen. Estrogen is actually a group of hormones. Another contributing factor in the development of osteoporosis is homocysteine. Homocysteine is a metabolite of the amino acid methionine. It has been implicated in several degenerative diseases including heart disease arteriosclerosis, and osteoporosis. High homocysteine levels cause osteoporosis by the formation of defective bone (protein) matrix. Homochsteine is detoxified into methionine by specific nutrients which are able to donate methyl groups to the homocysteine molecule. These nutrients include folic acid, B12, B6, and betaine (trimethylglycine). Egg shell thickness increased gradually with increasing folic acid level, this may be attributed to the necessary nutrients available in the blood at their convenience. Over eighteen nutrients are required to build bone. Calcium is the most abundant element in bone, but without the others new bone cannot be built regardless of how much calcium is available. These nutrients include calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, manganese, zinc, copper, boron, silica, fluorine, vitamins A, C, D, B6, B12, K, folic acid, essential fatty acids and protein. The body only uses minerals well when they are in a proper balance. An excess of phosphorus, for example, can cause loss of bone calcium and reduced bone mass (Lieberman, 2005).

### Haugh units:

Data in Table (3), showed that the average values of Haugh units were not influenced significantly (P>0.05) by energy, choline or folic acid levels, but influenced by methionine level in both experiments. The effect of interaction among energy x methionine x choline or folic acid on Haugh unit were significant. In experiment I, the values ranged between 61.1 and 67.3 for T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>10</sub>, respectively. While, in experiment II, the values varied from 59.8 and 68.2 for T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>, respectively. These findings agree with those reported by **Uddinet al.** (1991) who found that Haugh units were in irregular trend with dietary energy 2600, 2800, 3000 and 3100 kcal ME/kg. Abd-Elsamee (2005) observed

that the use of high levels of methionine (0.49 and 0.55%) significantly increased Haugh unit values compared with the control group (0.42% methionine). Rao et al. (2001) observed that amount of supplemental choline at levels up to 1520 mg/kg did not influence Haugh unit score. Hebert et al. (2004) showed no significant difference (P>0.05) in Haugh units due to felic acid supplementation up to 4 mg/kg but, that disagree with the findings of Novak et al. (2004) and Amaefule et al. (2004) who reported that supplementing layer diets with 0.10% methionine did not significantly influenced Haugh units.

### Egg yolk total lipids:

Egg yolk total lipids were calculated as a percentage of the total yolk weight and listed in Table (3) for experiment I and II. The low ME diets (2600 kcal ME/kg) significantly increased (P≤0.05) egg yolk total lipids when compared to the medium (2800 kcal ME/kg) and high (3000 kcal ME/kg) energy diets in both experiments. Methionine and folic acid levels did not affect egg yolk total lipids. A significant effect was due to choline levels. In experiment I and II, the effect of interaction among energy x methionine x choline or folic on egg yolk total lipids were significant. In experiment I, T₁ recorded the highest value of egg yolk total lipids (27.9 g/100 g yolk), while T₂ and T₀ gave the least value (25.8 g/100 g yolk). However, the values of the different experimental treatments of experiment II ranged between 25.6 to 28.0 g/100 g yolk for T₀ and T₃, respectively. On the contrary Uddin et al. (1991) and Uddin et al. (1997) reported that yolk fat was similar and did not significantly influenced by dietary energy levels up to 3100 kcal ME/kg.

### Egg yolk cholesterol:

Egg yolk cholesterol values (mg/g of yolk) are presented in Table (3) for experiment I and II. In both experiments, ME, methionine and folic acid levels did not affect average yolk total cholesterol values, while in the first experiment the difference between two choline levels was significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ). The interaction effect of energy x methionine x choline or folic acid for egg yolk total cholesterol was significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ). Concerning the various experimental diets of experiment I, the highest value (16.9 mg/gm) was for  $T_1$ , while the lowest value (12.5 mg/g) was for  $T_4$ . While, the values of egg yolk total cholesterol in experiment II ranged from 13.5 to 17.1 mg/gm for  $T_{12}$  and  $T_9$ , respectively. The results are in agreement with those reported by **Oke** *et al.* 

(2003) who found that cholesterol level maximized at 2650 kcal ME/kg compared to other diets containing 2750 and 2850 kcal ME/kg. This suggested that a basal level of 2750 kcal ME/kg is required for egg formation. The persistence of abnormally high level of cholesterol can cause despite of cholesterol plaques to occur in the aorta which can ultimately contribute to health hazard.

### **Immune Response:**

Values of serum total immunoglobulin titres are listed in Table (3) for experiment I and II. Low ME diets resulted in the highest average of serum titres (9.7 and 9.5), followed by medium energy diets (9.2 and 9.4) and high energy diets which recorded the least values (8.9 and 8.9) for the first and second experiment, respectively. But, in both experiments, no significant differences in serum total immunoglobulin titres were observed with increases dietary methionine, choline or folic acid concentration. The interaction of energy x methionine x choline or folic acid for the average serum total immunoglobulin titre values were significant. Concerning the various experimental diets, the values ranged between 8.2 and 10.2 for  $T_8$  and  $T_2$ , respectively (experiment I). In experiment II, the highest value of immunoglobulin titre (10.3) was obtained by  $T_1$ , while  $T_9$  gave the least value (8.3). The reason for this discrepancy probably relates to the amounts of oil (fatty acids) in the experimental diets. Calder (1998 a) concluded that high fat diets are associated with suppressed immune functions (T-cell proliferation). Kelley and Daudu (1993) and Calder (1998 a, b) reported that lower natural killer cell activity, lymphocyte proliferation and antibody production following the feeding of high fat diet including oils rich in linoleic acid (maize, sunflower and safflower oils) or in linolenic acid (linseed oil) when compared with feeding high saturated fat diets. These data suggested that linoleic acid has the potential to suppress innate and acquired immune functions. On the other hand, Balnave (2000) reported that decreased serum total immunoglobulin titres go parallel with increasing dietary methionine concentrations.

### Digestibility Coefficient and metabolizability:

Digestion coefficient of the nutrients and metabolizability of the experimental diets are shown in Table (4) for experiment I and II. Results revealed that different ME levels, especially high ME diets which containing

3000 kcal ME/kg improved the digestion coefficient values of almost all the nutrients compared to the control diet (2800 kcal ME/kg) and diets containing 2600 kcal ME/kg except for CP and NFE digestibility in both experiments. The addition of methionine, choline (experiment I) or folic acid (experiment II) had no significant effect on nutrients digestibility coefficient. This may be due to different amounts of feed consumed which produced the lower rapid passage of the feed for a high ME diets (3000 kcal ME/kg) and in contrast of this, a low ME diets (2600 kcal ME/kg) appeared the more rapid passage of feed which resulted in a decrease in the utilization of feed nutrients.

The high ME diets (3000 kcal ME/kg) improved significantly (P≤0.05) the coefficient of ME utilization (metabolizability) when compared to diets containing 2800 (control) and 2600 kcal ME/kg meaning that metabolizability increased gradually with increasing energy levels in both experiment Table (4). No significant differences in metabolizability was observed due to methionine and folic acid supplementation, however choline addition significantly (P≤0.05) influenced the metabolizability. The effect of interaction among energy x methionine x choline or folic acid on metabolizability were highly significant in experiment I and II. Concerning the metabolizability of experiment I, the best value (93.4%) was for  $T_{10}$ , followed by  $T_{11}$  (93.3%) and the least value (89.2%) was recorded for T2. While, in experiment II, the values of metabolizability varied from 90.3 and 94.3 % for T2 and T9, respectively. These results supported the findings of Scott and Balnave (1991) who reported that energy metabolizability was significantly (P<0.01) lower when pullets were fed on the low ME diet. Moreover, the losses of crude protein were significantly (P<0.01) greater from pullets fed the low ME diet. Saki (2005) reported that high level of crude fiber content reduced the bioavailability of energy. Hunton (1995) reported that deficiency of nutrients may occur in poultry by more increasing of energy content in diet. In contrast, feed intake as well as nutrient utilization is increased by low level of energy in diet. Naulia and Singh (2002) reported that the digestibility of dry matter (DM) and organic matter (OM) were significantly higher (P<0.05) on 0.323% methionine level compared to 0.248 and 0.267% level.

### **Economic efficiency:**

The economic efficiency and money return per hen fed the different formulated diets are summarized in Table (5). The net revenue and economic efficiency values ranged between 10.9–13.3 and 0.65–0.87 (first experiment) or

varied from 11.1–13.0 and 0.71–0.85 (second experiment), respectively. The lowest values were recorded for T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> for experiment 1 and 2, respectively, while, the highest values were listed for T<sub>12</sub> for both experiments. The results are in agreement with those reported by **Totsuka** *et al.* (1993) who found that cost per unit was minimal with the diet of 2700 kcal ME/kg compared to diets containing 2850 and 3000 kcal ME/kg, but increasing the dietary energy levels increased economic efficiency.

It may be concluded that: a diet containing 3000 kcal ME/kg would be ideal for the achievement of optimum performance and revenue cost ratio of Lohmann Brown laying hens during 28 – 44 wk of age. The addition of methionine, choline and folic acid up to 0.50%, 900 mg/kg and 6.0 mg/kg to low energy diet (2600 kcal ME/kg) improved egg quality and increased immune response compared to medium (2800 kcal ME/kg) and high energy levels (3000 kcal ME/kg). Therefore, we can decrease the usage of yellow corn in diets. Obviously, very good egg production and economic efficiency were obtained even when the ratio of calories of metabolizable energy to amino acid (methionine) and vitamins (choline and folic acid) in the diet, was 1:1.67, 1:0.30 and 1:0.002, respectively. Added folic acid in layer diets increased egg shell thickness through estrogen hormone relations mechanism in which the estrogen regulate the activity of osteoclasts and slow the process of bone dissolution. This lead to increasing egg shell thickness and decreased the eggs broken percentage and therefore, increased economic efficiency.

Vitamin and mineral choline-free premix at 0.3% of the diet supplies the following per kg of the diet: vit.A 10000 LU	Energy : Choline ratio Energy : Folic acid ratio	Energy · Methionine ratio	Available P %	Calcium, %	Lysine, %	Methionine + Cystine %	Methionine, %	Total folic acid (F) mg/kg.	Total choline (C) mg/ kg.	CP, %	Calculated analysis ** ME, k cal / kg	Supplemental folic acid (100%) mg/kg. (experiment II)	Supplemental choline chloride (60%) mg/kg. (experiment I)	Total	DL-methionine	Vit. and min. premix *	NaCl	Bone meal	Ground limestone	Vegetable oil	Soybean meal (44%) Rice bran				Ingredients			
1	1:0.12	1.1 54	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.71	0.40	2.00	300	18.00	2600			100.00	0.10	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	2.00	26.60	T1	mg/kg	300 C or 2.0 F	0.40% me			
	1:0.35	1.1 54	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.71	0.40	6.00	900	18.00	2600	4.00	1000	100.00	0.10	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	2.00	26.60	T2	mg/kg	900 C or 6.0 F	methionine	Low energy,		
	1:0.12	1-1-92	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.81	0.50	2.00	300	18.00	2600			100.00	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	2.00	26.45	T3	mg/Kg	300 C or 2.0 F	0.50% meth	2600 Kcal ME /		
	1:0.35	1.192	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.81	0.50	6.00	900	18.00	2600	4.00	1000	100.00	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	2.00	26.45	T4	mg/Kg	900 C or 6.0 F	methionine	/kg		
	1:0.01	1.143	0.40	3.72	1.00	0.70	0.40	2.00	300	18.00	2800			100.00	0.10	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	4.00	28.10	15 47.60	mg/Kg	300 C or 2.0 F	0.40% met			
	1:0.32	1.1 43	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.70	0.40	6.00	900	18.00	2800	4.00	1000	100.00	0.10	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	4.00	28.10	T6	mg/kg	900 C or 6.0 F	methionine	Medium energy,	Metaboliza	Experime
	1:0.11	1.1.79	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.80	0.50	2.00	300	18.00	2800	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		100.00	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	4.00	27.95	47 50	mg/Kg	300 C or 2.0 F	0.50% met	, 2800 Kcal ME	Metabolizable energy levels	Experimental treatments
and or the Personal P	1:0.32	1:179	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.80	0.50	6.00	900	18.00	2800	4.00	1000	100.00	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	4.00	27.95	T8	mg/Kg	900 C or 6.0 F	methionine	/kg	S	
ı	1:0.10	1.1.33	0.40	3.72	1.00	0.70	0.40	2.00	300	18.00	3000			100.00	0.10	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	6.00	29.60	T9	mg/Kg	300 C or 2.0 F	0.40% metl			
	1:0.30	1-1-33	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.70	0.40	6.00	900	18.00	3000	4.00	1000	100.00	0.10	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	6.00	29.60	01T	mg/kg	900 C or 6.0 F	methionine	High energy,		
	1:0:10	1167	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.80	0.50	2.00	300	18.00	3000			100.00	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	6.00	29.50	T11	mg/kg	300 C or 2.0 F	0.50% methionine	3000Kcal ME /		
	1.0.30	1-1-67	0 40	3.72	1.00	0.80	0.50	6.00	900	18.00	3000	4.00	1000	100.00	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.00	8.00	6.00	29.50	T12	mg/Kg	900 C or 6.0 F	hionine	/ kg		

Table (2): Effect of experimental treatments on laying hen performance.

Evenovimontal	Laying hen performance												
Experimental treatments		Exp	erime	nt I			Exp	erime	nt II				
treatments	EP	EW	FI	FCR	BWG	EP	EW	FI	FCR	BWG			
E. effect:				•									
E1	87.2	67.6	125 a	2.13 <sup>a</sup>	95.9°	87.7	66.8	127 a	2.17 a	141.2 b			
E2	88.1	67.0	116 b	1.97 b	241.7 b	87.9	67.6	117 b	1.97 b	163.8 b			
E3	90.3	68.0	105 °	1.71 °	341.1 a	89. 5	68.0	106 °	1.74 °	197.9 a			
M. effect:													
M1	87.9	67.3	115	1.96	227.5	87.5	67.2	116	1.99	174.9			
M2	89.2	67.8	115	1.91	225.0	89.2	67.7	116	1.93	160.4			
C. or F. effect:	,												
C1 or F1	88.1	67.4	116	1.96	232.1	87.7	67.0	117	2.00	174.0			
C2 or F2	88.9	67.7	115	1.92	220.4	89.0	67.9	116	1.93	161 2			
E. x M. x C. or F. effect													
T1 (E1+ M1 + C1 or F1)  T2 (E1+ M1 + C2 or F2)  T3 (E1+ M2 + C1 or F1)  T4 (E1+ M2 + C2 or F2)  T5 (E2+ M1 + C1 or F1)  T6 (E2+ M1 + C2 or F2)  T7 (E2+ M2 + C1 or F1)  T8 (E2+ M2 + C2 or F2)  T9 (E3+ M1 + C1 or F1)  T10 (E3+ M1 + C2 or F2)  T11 (E3+ M2 + C1 or F1)	86.6 b 87.2 ab 86.6 b 88.6 ab 87.2 ab 87.4 ab 88.0 ab 89.6 ab 89.1 ab 89.6 ab 91.1 a	67.1 67.0 68.0 68.3 66.2 66.9 65.9 68.0 67.7 68.7 68.3	126 a 125 a 125 a 125 a 116 b 116 b 116 b 116 b 106 c 104 c 105 c	2.17 a 2.16 a 2.13 ab 2.06 ab 2.02 b 1.98 b 1.94 b 1.76 c 1.70 c 1.70 c	92.5 ° 102.5 ° 93.8 ° 95.0 ° 242.5 ° 225.0 ° 220.0 ° 279.3 ° 389.0 ° 313.7 ° 355.0 ° 3	88.3 ab 89.0 a	66.7 65.9 66.6 67.9 66.7 68.2 66.1 68.3 67.4 68.4 67.7	128 a 126 b 126 b 126 b 117 c 116 c 117 c 117 c 117 c 107 d 106 d 106 d	2.26 a 2.18 a 2.14 ab 2.10 ab 2.05 bc 1.93 de 1.98 bcd 1.91 cd 1.78 cf 1.74 f 1.75 f	141.3 b 141.8 b 141.7 b 140.0 b 168.5 b 166.8 b 163.3 b 156.5 b 245.0 a 185.7 b 184.3 b			
T11 (E3+ M2 + C1 or F1) T12 (E3+ M2 + C2 or F2)	91.1° 91.1°	68.3	105°	1.70°	306.8 b	90.4 <sup>a</sup>	68.6	106 105 <sup>d</sup>	1.73 1.70 <sup>f</sup>	176.5			

a, b, c,... etc. means in same column, within each factor with different superscripts are significantly  $(P \le 0.05)$  different.

EP: Egg Production, H.D. (%), EW: Egg Weight (g), FI: Feed Intake (g/hen/day), FCR: Feed Conversion Ratio (kg. feed/kg. egg) and BWG: Body Weight Gain (g).

E. (Metabolizable energy level); E1: 2600 kcal ME/kg; E2: 2800 kcal ME/kg; E3: 3000 kcal ME/kg.

M (Methionine level); M1: 0.40% methionine; M2: 0.50% methionine.

C (Choline level); C1: 300 mg choline/kg; C2: 900 mg choline/kg.

F (Folic acid level); F1: 2.0 mg folic acid/kg; F2: 6.0 mg folic acid/kg.

T5 (control diet).

**Table (3):** Effect of experimental treatments on egg quality and immune response.

Experimental		Exp	erime	nt I		Experiment II						
treatments	ST	HU	YTL	YTC	Ig	ST	HU	YTL	YTC	Ig		
E. effect:												
E1	0.450 a	63.3	27.1 <sup>a</sup>	14.4	9.7 a	0.454 a	64.2	27.5 a	16.0	9.5 <sup>a</sup>		
E2	0.438 b	65.1	26.4 ab	14.2	9.2 ab	0.449 b	64.5	26.8 ab	15.9	9.4 <sup>a</sup>		
E3	0.426 °	65.5	26.2 b	14.1	8.9 b	0.436°	65.2	26.1 b	15.1	8.9 b		
M. effect:	,											
M1	0.437	64.0 <sup>b</sup>	26.3	14.6	9.4	0.446	63.0 b	26.7	15.7	9.4		
M2	0.440	65.3 <sup>a</sup>	26.8	13.9	9.1	0.446	66.3 <sup>a</sup>	26.8	15.6	9.2		
C. or F. effect:												
C1 or F1	0.440	64.2	26.9 a	14.8 a	9.5	0.445	63.9	26.7	15.9	9.3		
C2 or F2	0.436	65.0	26.2 b	13.7 b	9.1	0.447	65.4	26.9	15.4	9.2		
E. x M. x C. or F. effect												
T1 (E1+ M1 + C1 or F1)  T2 (E1+ M1 + C2 or F2)  T3 (E1+ M2 + C1 or F1)  T4 (E1+ M2 + C2 or F2)  T5 (E2+ M1 + C1 or F1)  T6 (E2+ M1 + C2 or F2)  T7 (E2+ M2 + C1 or F1)  T8 (E2+ M2 + C2 or F2)  T9 (E3+ M1 + C1 or F1)  T10 (E3+ M1 + C2 or F2)  T11 (E3+ M2 + C1 or F1)	0.468 a 0.442 b 0.451 ab 0.440 b 0.432 bc 0.444 b 0.446 ab 0.446 ab 0.421 c 0.425 bc 0.431 bc	64.7 abc 64.7 abc 65.1 abc 64.9 abc 65.7 ab 62.9 bcd 67.3 a	25.9 b 25.8 b 27.7 a 26.0 b	16.9 a 15.0 bc 13.4 def 12.5 f 15.5 b 13.2 ef 14.6 bcd 13.7 def 13.3 def 13.9 cde 15.2 b	9.9 ab 10.2 a 9.3 bc 9.3 bc 9.8 ab 8.9 cd 10.0 ab 8.2 d 8.9 cd 8.6 cd 8.6 cd	0.451 0.456 0.451 0.458 0.449 0.457 0.440 0.449 0.433 0.432 0.446	59.8 d 63.6 c 66.4 abc 67.0 ab 60.3 d 65.4 bc 68.2 a 64.2 bc 63.9 c 65.1 bc 64.8 bc	27.2 abc 27.7 ab 28.0 a 27.0 abc 26.4 abc 27.0 abc 27.0 abc 27.3 abc 27.3 abc 26.5 abc 26.6 c 26.9 abc 26.0 bc	16.0 abc 16.3 ab 15.9 abc 15.7 abc 14.2 dc 15.9 abc 17.0 a 16.5 ab 17.1 a 14.6 cde 15.1 bcd	9.3 bc 9.5 b 8.3 d 9.2 bc		
T12 (E3+ M2 + C2 or F2)	0.431 0.429 bc		26.3 b	13.2 14.0 <sup>cde</sup>	9.0 <sup>cd</sup>	0.446	67.1 ab	25.9 bc	13.1°	8.8 <sup>cd</sup>		

a, b, c,... etc. means in same column, within each factor with different superscripts are significantly  $(P \le 0.05)$  different.

ST: Shell Thickness (μm), HU: Haugh Unit, YTL: Yolk Total Lipid (g/100 g yolk), YTC: Yolk Total Cholesterol (mg/g) and Ig: Immunoglobulin titre

E. (Metabolizable energy level); E1: 2600 kcal ME/kg; E2: 2800 kcal ME/kg; E3: 3000 kcal ME/kg.

M (Methionine level); M1: 0.40% methionine; M2: 0.50% methionine.

C (Choline level); C1: 300 mg choline/kg; C2: 900 mg choline/kg.

F (Folic acid level); F1: 2.0 mg folic acid/kg; F2: 6.0 mg folic acid/kg.

T5 (control di

**Table (4):** Effect of experimental treatments on the digestibility coefficient of nutrients and metabolizability.

			Ex	oerime	ent I		Experiment II								
Experimental Treatments	Dige	stion c	oeffici	ent %			EU	Digestion coefficient %							
Tientments	DM CP EE CF NFE				OM	LU	DM	CP	EE	CF	NFE	OM	EU		
E. effect:															
E1	65.7°	96.3 b	83.6 °	24.2 °	80.4	75.9 °	90.8 °	69.3°	95.8 a	82.7 °	23.4 °	84.9	78.1 °	92.2	
E2	70.7 b	97.9 a	86.7 b	27.1 0	76.0	80.4 6	92.3 b	73.2 b	95.9 a	87.7 0	26.1 b	82.2	82.0 b	92.9	
E3	73.1 "	96.7 b	88.8 a	28.8 a	78.8	82.ó ª	93.0 ª	75.6 "	95.1 "	89.7 a	28.7 a	81.2	83.9 a	93.6	
M. effect:			-							-					
M1	69.3	96.8	86.5	27.2	79.1	79.5	91.9	72.5	95.4	87.4	26 5	82.7	81.0	92.9	
M2	70.4	97.1	86.3	26.2	77.7	79.8	92.2	72.9	95.8	86.1	25.6	82.9	81.7	92.8	
C. or F. effect:	•	٠								,					
C1 or F1	71.1	97.1	86.5	26.7	80.5,	80.2	92.4 a	74.1	95.9	87.0	26.5	83.1	81.9	93.5	
C2 or F2	68.7	96.8	86.2	26.7	76.4	79.2	91.6 b	71.3	95.3	86.4	25.7	82.4	80.8	92.3	
E. x M. x C. or F.	effect		^						·	7					
T1 (E1+ M1 + C1 or F1)	63.9 h	97.0 f	85.4 h	25.8 °	81.8 ª	78.0 1	91.6 f	72.5 °	95.9 abs		23.8 3	84.6 ab	79.8°	93.6	
T2 $(E1 - M1 + C2 \text{ or } F2)$	69.0 k	96.3 i	83.7 i	25.8°	70.4 b	72.3 h	89.2 j	64.0 g	95.0 de		24.9 f	83.9 ab	74.1 f	90.3	
T3 (E1 + M2 + C1  or  F1)	66.7 <sup>j</sup>	96.7 <sup>g</sup>	82.8 J	22.9 f	82.7 a	77.7 f	91.2 h	73.2 <sup>d</sup>	96.5 ª	82.7 k	23.4 h	89.7 ª	79.4 °	93.4	
$\Gamma 4 (E1 + M2 + C2 \text{ or } F2)$	67.3 i	95.0 k	82.7	22.1 8	36.6 1	75.8 €	91.0	67.6 f	95.8 Ebs	80.4	21.5	81.5 ab	79.0°	91.3	
T5 $(E2 + M1 + C1 \text{ or } F1)$		97.1 °	86.5 f	26.9 d	85.3 ª	80.5 ede	100	72.9 de	96.2 ab	0.38	25.6 2	79.3 b	81.2 d	93.1	
T6 (E2+ Mi + C2 or F2)		98.1 5	86.0 <sup>2</sup>	27.0 3	69.1 b	80.3 de	92.1	72.5	95.5 bes	7707.000	25.4°	80.5 at	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	50000000	
T7 $(E2 + M2 + C1 \text{ or } F1)$	74.1 b	98.3 ª	86.8°	26.8 d	77.5 ab	81.5 **	93.3 h	73.4 <sup>d</sup>	95.4 *	87.5 h	26.7 d	83.9 ab	82.2 <sup>cd</sup>	93.0	
T8 (E2+ M2 + C2 or F2)	68.7 h	98.2 ª	87.4 d	27.7°	72.2 b	79.5°	91.5 g	73.2°	95.6 bcc	88.0 f	26.6 d	85.0 ab	82.2 <sup>cd</sup>	93.0	
T9 (E3+ M1 + C1 or F1)	72.5 <sup>d</sup>	96.4 h	88.8 b	29.1 ª	85.9 ª	81.8 bc	92.7°	77.8 4	95.2 <sup>cdc</sup>	90.6 b	31.1 a	83.8 ab	84.8 ª	94.3	
$\Gamma$ 10 (E3+ M1 + C2 or F2)	7.1.3 a	95.8 <sup>j</sup>	88.4 °	28.7 th	82.0 a	84.1 ª	93.4 ª	75.2 b	94.5°	90.7 ª	28.4 b	84.0 ab		93.5	
T11 (E3+ M2 + C1 or F1)	73.7 °	97.4 °	88.8 b	28.9 ah	69.5 b	81.6 <sup>ed</sup>	93.3 *	75.0 b	95.3 <sup>cd</sup>	89.2°	28.3 b	77.5 b	83.7	93.5	
T12 (E3 + M2 + C2  or  F2)	71.9 °	97.2 3	89.1 a	28.5 b	77.9 ab	83.0 ab	92.5 d	74.4	95.2 de	88.6 d	27.1 °	79.7 b	83.5 abo	92.9	

a, b, c,... etc. means in same column, within each factor with different superscripts are significantly  $(P \le 0.05)$  different.

EU: Energy utilization (Metabolizability %).

E. (Metabolizable energy level); E1: 2600 kcal ME/kg; E2: 2800 kcal ME/kg; E3: 3000 kcal ME/kg.

M (Methionine level); M1: 0.40% methionine; M2: 0.50% methionine.

C (Choline level); C1: 300 mg·choline/kg; C2: 900 mg choline/kg.

F (Folic acid level); F1: 2.0 mg folic acid/kg; F2: 6.0 mg folic acid/kg.

T5 (control diet).

L.E = 1 pound Egyptian currency = 100 piasters.

Price of total egg prod./ hen (L.E.) = Total number of eggs / hen x price of one egg (0.28 L.E.).

Net revenue (N.R.) / hen (L.E.) = price of total egg production / hen (L.E.) - total feed cost / hen (L.E.).

Economic Efficiency (E.Ef.) = Net revenue / price of total feed intake.

E. (Metabolizable energy level); E1: 2600 kcal ME/kg; E2: 2800 kcal ME/kg; E3: 3000 kcal ME/kg.

M (Methionine level); M1: 0.40% methionine; M2: 0.50% methionine.

C (Choline level); C1: 300 mg choline/kg; C2: 900 mg choline/kg.

F (Folic acid level); F1: 2.0 mg folic acid/kg; F2: 6.0 mg folic acid/kg. T5 (control diet).

Table (5): Effect of experimental treatments on economic efficiency of the experimental

			Exp	erim	ent I					Ex	perin	ent I	I	
		Input		Out	put			]	Input		Out	put		E.Ef.
Experimental treatments	FI / hen (kg)	Price / Kg feed (L.E.)	Cost of FI (L.E.)	EN / hen	Price of egg (L.E.)	N.R.	E.Ef.	FI / hen (kg)	Iteed	Cost of FI (L.E.)	EN / hen	Price of egg (L.E.)	N.R.	
E. effect:					2									
E1	14.0	1.1	15.8	98	27.4	11.6	0.74	14.2	1.1	15.6	98	27.5	12.0	0.77
E2	13.0	1.2	15.6	99	27.6	12.0	0.77	13.1	1.2	15.7	99	27.7	12.0	0.77
E3	11.8	1.3	15.3	101	28.3	13.0	0.85	11.9	1.3	15.5	101	28.1	12.7	0.82
M. effect:														
M1	12.9	1.2	15.5	99	27.6	12.1	0.79	13.1	1.2	15.6	98	27.5	11.9	0.76
M2	12.9	1.2	15.7	100	28.0	12.3	0.79	13.0	1.2	15.5	100	28.0	12.5	0.81
C. or F. effect:			· ·											
C1 or F1	13.0	1.2	15.5	99	27.7	12.2	0.79	13.1	1.2	15.6	99	27.6	12.0	0.77
C2 or F2	12.9	1.2	15.6	100	27.9	12.2	0.78	13.0	1.2	15.5	100	28.0	12.4	0.80
E. x M. x C. or	F. eff	ect								,			,	
T1 (E1+ M1 + C1 or F1)	14.1	1.1	15.5	97	27.2	11.7	0.75	14.3	1.1	15.7	96	26.8	11.1	0.71
T2 (E1+ M1 + C2 or F2)	14.0	1.1	15.4	98	27.4	12.0	0.78	14.1	1.1	15.5	98	27.5	12.0	0.77
T3 (E1+ M2 + C1 or F1)	14.0	1.1	15.4	97	27.2	11.8	0.77	14.1	1.1	15.5	100	27.9	12.4	0.80
T4 (E1+ M2 + C2 or F2)	14.0	1.2	16.8	99	27.7	10.9	0.65	14.1	1.1	15.5	99	27.8	12.3	0.79
T5 (E2+ M1 + C1 or F1)	13.0	1.2	15.6	98	27.4	11.8	0.76	13.1	1.2	15.7	96	26.9	11.2	0.71
T6 (E2+ M1 + C2 or F2)	13.0	1.2	15.6	98	27.4	11.8	0.76	13.0	1.2	15.6	99	27.7	12.1	0.78
T7 (E2+ M2 + C1 or F1)	13.0	1.2	15.6	99	27.7	12.1	0.78	13.1	1.2	15.7	100	27.9	12.2	0.78
T8 (E2+ M2 + C2 or F2)	13.0	1.2	15.6	100	28.0	12.4	0.79	13.1	1.2	15.7	101	28.2	12.5	0.80
T9 (E3+ M1 + C1 or F1)	11.9	1.3	15.5	100	28.0	12.5	0.81	12.0	1.3	15.6	100	27.9	12.3	0.79
T10 (E3+ M1 + C2 or F2)	11.6	1.3	15.1	100	28.0	12.9	0.85	11.9	1.3	15.5	101	28.2	12.7	0.82
T11 (E3+ M2 + C1 or F1)	11.8	1.3	15.3	102	28.6	13.3	0.87	11.9	1.3	15.5	100	28.1	12.6	0.81
T12 (E3+ M2 + C2 or F2)	11.8	1.3	15.3	102	28.6	13.3	0.87	11.8	1.3	15.3	101	28.3	13.0	0.85

### REFERENCES

**Abd-Elsamee, M.O. (2005).** Effect of different levels of methionine and vitamin E on laying hen performance under heat stress conditions. 3<sup>rd</sup> International Poultry Conference. 4-7 April 2005 Hurghada - Egypt.

Adevemo, A.I. and Longe, O.G. (2000). Sexual maturity and productivity response of pullets fed varying energy levels in the tropics. Annuals of Animal Science Roczniki Naukowe Zootechniki, 27:201-208.

- Amaefule, K.U.; Ojewola, G.S. and Uchegbu, E.C. (2004). The effect of methionine, Lysine and/or vitamin c (ascorbic acid) supplementation on egg production and egg quality characteristics of layers in humid tropics. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 16: 9-13.
- Association of Official Agricultural chemists (1990). "Official methods of analysis" 15th Ed.
- Published by the A.O.A.C., Washington, D.C. Atteh, j.O. and Leeson, S. (1985). Response of laying hens to dietary saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in the presence of varying dietary calcium levels. Poultry Sci., 64:
- Balnave, D. (2000). Protein and methionine requirements of imported Brown layer strains. A report for the rural industries research and development corporation.
- Bhardwaj, U.; Mandal, A.B. and Sunaria, K.R. (2000). Effect of supplementing sodium sulphate, choline and cow dung in mash on egg production of laying hens. Indian Journal of Poultry Science, 35:327-331.
- Calder, P.C. (1998 a). Dietary fatty acids and the immune system. Nutrition Reviews, 56: S70 S83.
- Calder, P.C. (1998 b). Dietary fatty acid and lymphocyte functions. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 57: 487 - 502
- Ciftci, I.; Yenice, E.; Gokceyrek, D. and Ozturk, E. (2003). Effects of energy level and enzyme supplementation in wheat based layer diers on hen performance and egg quality. Acta Agriculture Scandinavica, Section-A Animal Science, 53: 113-119.
- Colvara, I.G.; Maier, J.C.; Rutz, F.; Brum, P.A.R.; Pan, E.A. and De-Brum, P.A.R. (2002). Effect of dietary metabolizable energy levels for molted brown egg laying hens and performance in the summer. Revista Brasileria De Agrociencia, 8:47-49.
- Cortes, C.A.; Diaz, P.F.J. and Avila, G.E. (2001). Performance with the addition of two methionine sources in the diet on laying hens. Veterinaria Mexico, 32: 183-187.
- De-Acosta, I.R.; Marquez, A.A. and Angulo, I. (2002). Response of layer hens to different densities in cages and dietary enrgy levels. Archivos Latinoamericanos De Produccion Animal, 10: 1-6.
- **Duncan, D. B.** (1955). Multiple range and multiple F-tests. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- Eisen, j.E.; Bohren, B.B. and McKean, E.H. (1962). The Haugh units as a measure of egg albumen quality. Poultry Sci., 41: 1461-1468.
- Folch, J.; Less, M. and Sloanestanley, G.H. (1957). A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. J. Biol. Chem., 226:497.
- Ghaisari, A.A. and Golian, A. (1996). Effects of dietary energy and protein levels of rearing period on the performance of native hens. Iranian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 27: 29-36.
- Harms, H.R. (1999). Modified laying hen feeding specifications take into account energy: amino acid ratio. Feedstuffs, 71 (11): 20 – 22
- Harms, H.R.; Heinton, K. and Russell, B.G. (1999). Energy methionine ratio is important in formulating feed for commercial layers. J. of Appl. Poult. Res. 8: 272-279.
- Harms, H.R.; Ruiz, N. and Miles, R.D. (1990). Research note: conditions necessary for a response by the commercial laying hen to supplemental choline and sulfate. Poultry Sci., 69: 1226-1229

- Harms, H.R. and Russell, B.G. (2002). Betaine does not improve performance of laying hens when the diet contains adequate choline. Poultry Sci., 81: 99-101.
- Harms, H.R.; Russell, B.G.; Harlow, H. and Ivey, F.J. (1998). The influence of methionine on commercial laying hens. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 7: 45-52.
- Harms, H.R.; Russell, B.G. and Sloan, D.R. (2000). Performance of four strains of commercial layers with major change in dietary energy. J. of Appl. Poult. Res.,
- Hebert, K.; House, J.D. and Guenter, W. (2004). Efficiency of folate deposition in eggs through-out the production cycle of Hy-Line W98 and W36 laying hens. Poultry Sci., 83 (Suppl. 1).
- Henly, A.A. and Zak, B. (1957). Determination of serum cholesterol. Analyst, 82:286.
- House, J.D.; Braun, K.; Balance, D.M.; O'Connor, C.P. and Guenter, W. (2002). The enrichment of eggs with folic acid through supplementation of the laying hen diet. Poultry Sci., 81: 1332-1337.
- House, J.D.; Jacobs, R.L.; Stead, L.M.; Brosnan, M.E. and Brosnon, J.T. (1999). Regulation of homocystine metabolism. Adv. Enzyme Reg., 39: 69-91.
- Hunton, H. (1995). Poultry production, Onario, Canada, pp 53 118 Jakobsen, P.E.; Kirston, S.G. and Nelson, H. (1960). Digestibility trials with poultry. 322 bertning fraforsgs laboratories, udgivet of stants Husdyrbugsudvalg-Kabenha.
- Kelly, D.S. and Daudu, P.A. (1993). Fat intake and immune response. Progress in food and nutrition Science, 17: 41 63.
- Keshavarz, K. (2003). Effects of reducing dietary protein, methionine, choline, folic acid and vitamin B12 during the late stages of the egg production cycle on performance and egg shell quality. Poultry Sci., 82: 11407-1414.
- Keshavarz, K. and Nakajima, S. (1993). Re-evaluation of calcium and phosphorus requirement of laying hens for optimum performance and egg shell quality. Poult. Sci., 72: 144-153
- Khan, M.Z.M.; Sial, M.A.; Rasool, S. and Chudhary, N.A. (1991). Dietary levels of choline with inadequate levels of methionine for laying hens. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, 11: 196-
- Lieberman, S. (2005). Osteoporosis or Healthy Bones at all Ages. Advanced Health & Life Extension (review article).
- Liu, Z.; Bateman, A.; Bryant, M. Abobe, A. and Roland, D.A. (2004 a). Estimation of bioavailability of DL-methionine hydroxy analogue relative to DL-methionine in layers with exponential and slope ratio models. Poultry Sci., 83: 1580-1586.
- Liu, Z.; Bateman, A.; Sohail, S.S.; Zinner, B. and Roland, D.A. (2004 b). Statistical sensitivity required to detect any potential difference of bioavailability between DLmethionine and DL-methionine hydroxyl analogue in layers. Inter. J. of Poult. Sci., 3: 697-
- Liu, .Z. and Feng, L. H. (1992). Effects of supplementation of folic acid, ascorbic acid and cyanocobalamin on the performance of layers. Niggxia Journal of Agro Forestry Sciences and Technology, 6: 40-42
- National Research Council (NRC). (1994). Nutrient Requirements of poultry. 9th.ed. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Naulia, U. and Singh, K.S. (2002). Replacement of dietary groundnut-cake by soybean meal supplemented with DL-methionine on the performance of layer chickens. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences, 72: 1173-1176.
- Novak, C.; Yakout, H. and Scheidler, S. (2004). The combined effects of dietary lysine and total sulfur amino acid level on egg production parameters and egg components in Dekalb Delta laying hens. Poult. Sci., 83: 977-984.

- Okazaki, Y.; Fukasawa, A.; Adachi, S.; Ohishi, R. and Ishibashi, T. (1995). Effect of phase feeding of amino acid on performance of laying hens during laying period. Japanese Poultry Sci., 32: 12-25.
- Oke, U.K.; Herbert, U. and Akinmutimi, A.H. (2003). Early lay characteristics and hematology of pearl Guinea fowls as influenced by dietary protein and energy levels. Inter. J. of Poult. Sci., 2:128-132.
- Piliang, W.G.; Bird, H.R.; Sunde, M.L. and Primgle, D.J. (1982). Rice bran as major energy source for laying hens. Poultry Sci., 61: 357 363.
- Rao, S.U.R.; Sunder, G.S.; Reddy, M. R.; Praharaj, N.K.; Raju, M.V.L.N. and Panda, A.K. (2001). Effect of supplementary choline on the performance of broiler breeders fed on different energy sources. Br. Poult. Sci., 42: 362-367.
- Rezvani, M.R.; Golian, A.; Shahroudi, F.E. and Maghaddam, H.N. (2000). Effects of pullet nutrition and dietary energy and fat of layer rations on the performance of Hy-line layers. J. of Science and Technology of Agriculture and Natural Resources, 4:81-91.
- **Robinson, D. (2000).** Energy requirements of imported Brown layer strains. A report for the rural industries research and development corporation.
- Rosa. A.P.; Zanella, N.I. and Vieira, N.S. (1996). Effect of different levels of crude protein and metabolizable energy on the performance of Plymouth Rock Barred hens. Ciencia Rural, 26: 289-296.
- Saki, A.A. (2005). Metabolism energy and viscosity in response to cold and tropical cereals area in Leghon pullets. Inter. J. of Poult Sci., 4: 1-3.
- SAS Institute. (2004). SAS / DSTAT User's Guide. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, Nc.
- Scott, A.T. and Balnave, D. (1991). Influence of temperature, dietary energy, nutrient concentration and self-selection feeding on the retention of dietary energy, protein and calcium by sexually maturing egg laying pullets. Br. Poult.Sci., 32:1005-1016.
- Silpasorn, T.; Bunchasak, C. and Atamangkoon, S. (2003). Effects of methionine supplementation in low protein diet on production, reproductive organs, abdominal fat and liver composition of laying hens raised in closed house system. Proceedings of 41<sup>st</sup> kasetsart university Annual Conference, 3-7 February, 2003 subject: Animals and Veterinary Medicine, 385-393.
- Slagter, P.J. and Waldroup, P.W. (1990). Calculation and evaluation of energy: amino acid ratios for the egg-production type hen. Poultry Sci., 69: 1810-1822.
- Stilborn, H.L. and Waldroup, P.W. (1990). An evaluation of low-energy feedstuffs in diets for laying hens. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 27: 327-339.
- Totsuka, K.; Okazaki, Y.; Yamamoto, A.; Koide, K.; Watanabe, E.; Toyomizu, M. and Ishibashi, T. (1993). Effect of dietary crude protein and metabolizable energy levels on the performance of laying hens. Japanese Poultry Sci., 30: 1-15.
- Uddin, M.S.; Tareque, A.M.M.; Howlider, M.A.R. and Khan, M.J. (1991). The influence of dietary protein and energy levels on egg quality in Starcross layers. Asian Australian Journal of Animal Sci., 4: 399-405.
- Uddin, M.S.; Tareque, A.M.M.; Khan, M.J.; Haque, M.R.; Paul, D.C. and Howlider, M.A.R. (1997). Effect of dietary protein and energy levels on the quality of eggs of cage reared Starcross layers. Bangladesh Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research, 32: 573-582.
- Van der Zipp, A.J.; Frankena, K.; Boneschancher, J. and Nieuwland, M.G.B.(1983). Genetic analysis of primary and secondary immune responses in the chicken. Poultry Sci., 62: 565-572.
- Wideman, F.R.; Ford, C.B.; Dibner, J.J.; Robey, W.W. and Yersin, G.A. (1994).

  Responses of laying hens to diets containing up to 2% DL-methionine or equimolar (2.25%) 2-Hydroxy-4-(methylthio) Butanoic acid. Poultry Sci., 73: 259-267.

الملخص العربى تأثير المستويات المختلفة من الطاقة والمثيونين والكولين وحامض الفوليك على الأداء الإنتاجي للدجاج البياض.

اسامه محمد الحسينى ، عادل زكى سليمان ، ممدوح عمر عبد السميع ، إسلام إبراهيم عماره. قسم الإنتاج الحيواني – كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة.

اشتملت هذه الدراسة على تجربتين ، بحيث تضمنت التجربة الأولى ثلاثة مستويات من الطاقة ١٦٠٠ ، ١٨٠٠ و ٢٥٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة/كجم عليقة ومستويين من الحامض الأميني المثيونين (٢٠٠ و ٢٠٠٠ ماليجرام/كجم عليقة) بينما تحتوى التجربة الثانية نفس مستويات الطاقة والمثيونين وعلى مستويين من حامض الفوليك (٢٠٠ و ٢٠٠ ماليجرام/كجم عليقة) بدلا من الكولين، كل من التجربتين في تصميم عاملي ٢ ٢ ٢ ٢ . تم تكوين العلائق بحيث تفي بالإحتياجات الغذائية تبعا لتوصيات دليل تربية السلالة مع الأخذ في الإعتبار أن مستويات الكنترول للطاقة ، المثيونين ، الكولين و حامض الفوليك هي ٢٨٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة/كجم عليقة ، ٢٤٠٠ ، ٢٠٠ ماليجرام/كجم عليقة و ٢٠٠ ماليجرام/كجم عليقة على الترتيب. اجريت الدراسة على عدد ٢٤٠٠ دجاجة بياضة (بعدد ١٢٠٠ دجاجة / تجربة) من النوع اللوهمان البني عمر الطيور لكلا التجربتين في بطاريات داخل عنبر من النوع المقفول تحت نفس الظروف من الرعاية لمدة ١٦ إسبوعا مدة إجراء التجربة. تم تقديم الغذاء على صورة سائبة مع ماء الشرب حتى الشبع مع إعطاء الطيور ٢١ ساعة إضاءة في اليوم.

اوضحت النتائج عدم وجود فروق معنوية نتيجة إستخدام المستويات المختلفة من الطاقلة ، المثيونين ، الكولين أو حامض الفوليك في نسبة الإنتاج اليومي للبيض حيث سجلت الطيور التي تم تغذيتها على علائق مرتفعة في الطاقة أعلى نسبة من الإنتاج اليومي للبيض بالمقارنة بالطيور التي تم تغذيتها على علائق متوسطة ومنخفضة الطاقة ولكن بدون وجود فروق معنوية بين مستويات الطاقـــة الثلاثة في كلا من التجربتين. أشارت النتائج أيضا إلى أن زيادة مستوى الطاقة ، المثيونين ، الكولين أوحامض الفوليك بالعلائق لم يكن له أي تاثير معنوي على وزن البيضة. في التجربة الأولى والثانية حـثت زيادة ملحوظة في كمية الغذاء المستهلك اليومي مع انخفاض طاقة العليقـة وسـجلت العلائــق المُحتوية على ٣٠٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة/كجم عليقة افضل معامل لتحويل الغذاء حيث ادت هذه العلائق الى تحسين معامل التحويل الغذائي بمعدل 13.20 و 19.72% (التجربة الأولى) وبمعدل 11.68 و 19.82% (التجربة الثانية) بالمقارنة بالعلائق المحتوية على ٢٨٠٠ و ٢٦٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة/كجم عليقة على الترتيب. كما وجد فروق معنوية في قيم مقدار الزيادة في الوزن نتيجة الختلاف مستوى الطاقة بالعلائق حيث إزداد مقدار الزيادة في الوزن تدريجيا مع زيادة مستوى الطاقة في العلائق المضاف اليها المثيونين ، الكولين وحامض الفوليك في التجربة الأولــي والثانيــة. أدت المعاملات المحتوية على ٢٦٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة/كجم عليقة المضاف إليها المثيــونين ، الكولين وحامض الفوليك إلى تحسين الصفات الخارجية لجودة البيضة والمتمثلة في سمك القشرة بينما ادت العلائق المحتوية على ٣٠٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة/كجم عليقة إلى تحسين الصفات الداخليــة لجودة البيضة والمتمثلة في وحدات هاوف بالمقارنة بعليقة الكنترول المحتويــة علـــي ٢٨٠٠ كيلــو كالورى طاقة ممثلة/كجم عليقة. أدت أيضا التغذية على العلائق المحتوية على ٢٦٠٠ كيلو كــالورى طاقة ممثلة/كجم عليقة في التجربة الأولى والثانية إلى زيادة الدهون الكلية والكوليسترول الكلبي فسي

صفار البيضة بالمقارنة بالعلائق المحتوية على ٢٨٠٠ و ٣٠٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة/كجم عليقة. تأثرت الإستجابة المناعية والمتمثلة في الجلوبيولين المناعي (الأجسام المصنادة) معنويا بمستويات الطاقة في العلائق في حين لم يتأثر الجلوبيولين المناعي باضافة المثيونين ، الكولين وحامض الفوليك إلى العلائق مع ملاحظة حدوث انخفاض طفيف في مستوى الجلوبيولين المناعي مع زيادة مستوى الكولين وحامض الفوليك المضاف إلى العلائق ولكن بدون أى تاثير معنوى واضــح. ادى إسـتخدام مستويات، مختلفة من الطاقة وخاصة مستوى الطاقة المرتفع (٣٠٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة كجم عَدِيَّة) إلى الحصول على نتائج أفضل لمعاملات الهضم في حين لم يؤثر إضافة المثورنين ، الكولين وحامض الفوليك على معاملات الهضم المختلفة. تأثرت الكفأءة الإقتصادية بالمستويات المختلفة من الطافة ، المثيونين ، الكولين وحامض الغوليك حيث ازدات بزيادة مستوى الطاقة في العلائق.

بصفة عامة نستخلص من هذه ألدر أسة أن نعذية الدجاج البياض من النوع اللوهمان البني خلال الفترة من ٢٨ – ٤٤ اسبوع على العلائق المحتوية على ٣٠٠٠ ك.كالوري/كجم عليقة أعطت افضل أداء انتاجي للطيور وأعلى عائد اقتصادي. أدت اضافة المثيونين ، الكولين وحامض الفوليك حتى مستوى ٥٠٠% ، 900 مللجم كولين/كجم و ٦٠٠ مللجم حامض الفوليك/كجم على الترتيب الى العلائق المنخفضة الطاقة (٢٦٠٠ ك. كالورى/كجم عليقة) الى تحسن في صفات جودة البيضة وزيادة في الاستجابة المناعية للطيور بالمقارنة بالعلائق المحتوية على ٢٨٠٠ و ٢٠٠٠ ك.كالوري/كجم عليقة وبالتالي يمكن توفير كمية من الذرة الصفراء المستخدمة في العلائق. توصلت هذه الدراسة الي أن أفضل انتاج للبيض و عائد اقتصادي تم تحقيقه عندما كانت النسبة بين الطاقة والمثيونين ١٠٦٧: ١ ، بين الطاقة والكواين ١ : ٠,٣٠ و بين الطاقة وحامض الفوايك ١ : ٠,٠٠٢. أدى اضافة حامض اله ايك التي علائق الدجاج البياض التي زيادة سمك القشرة من خلال علاقته بهرمون الاستروجين والذي يعمل على تنظيم عمل الخلايا المحللة للعظام كما يعمل على تأخير عملية اذابة العظام المتحللة مما يؤدي الى زيادة سمك القشرة وتقليل نسبة البيض المكسور وبالتالي زيادة العائد الاقتصادي.

من خلال نتائج التجرية أمكن حساب المعادلات التالية :

أولا: الكولين:

الكولين = ١٨٩٥، - ١٣٢٥، ١٠ (وزن الجسم الحي " كجم ") + ٢،٥٠٤ (انتاج البيض " % ") + ٣,٩١٢ (وزن البيضة " جم ") + ٩٣٧. (مستوى طاقة العليقة " كيلو كالورى / كجم ") + ٢٨٨,٦٣ (مستوى مثبونين العليقة " % ") .

r = ...,  $r^2 = ...$ 

تانيا: حمض القوليك:

حمض الفوليك = ٢٤,٦٠٣ - ٢٤,٦٠٣ (وزن الجسم الحي " كجم ") + ١١٦٠ (انتاج البيض " % ") ٠ 

قيم r' المنخفضة وعدم معنوية التأثير في المعادلتين نتيجة استخدام مستوين فقط لكل من الكولين وحمض الفوليك وبذلك لا يمكن عمل non – linear model.

لهذا فإن نتائج التجربة تحتاج الى مزيد من الدراسة باستخدام مستويات عديدة لتحقيق الدقة المطلوبة .